UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	V.	UKDI	ER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
	Juan Gurrola-Burgos	_ Case Number:	09-6312M		
and was repres			as held on August 3, 2009. Defendant was presen ne defendant is a flight risk and order the detention		
I find by a prop	and around of the evidence that	FINDINGS OF FACT			
	onderance of the evidence that:				
	The defendant is not a citizen of the	·	•		
	The defendant, at the time of the c	_	• ,		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.				
	The defendant has no significant of	ontacts in the United States of	r in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal	history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexic	0.			
	The defendant is an amnesty app substantial family ties to Mexico.	licant but has no substantial	ties in Arizona or in the United States and has		
	There is a record of prior failure to	appear in court as ordered.			
	The defendant attempted to evade	law enforcement contact by fl	eeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a maximur	n of y	rears imprisonment.		
The Co at the time of the	ourt incorporates by reference the mane hearing in this matter, except as r	terial findings of the Pretrial Soloted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Cour		
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defe No condition or combination of con	endant will flee.	the appearance of the defendant as required.		
a corrections fa appeal. The de of the United S	cility separate, to the extent practical efendant shall be afforded a reasonal tates or on request of an attorney for the United States Marshal for the purp	ble, from persons awaiting or so ble opportunity for private cons the Government, the person in			
IT IS O deliver a copy of Court.	RDERED that should an appeal of the	nis detention order be filed with	n the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric		
IT IS F	URTHER ORDERED that if a release iently in advance of the hearing before potential third party custodian.	e to a third party is to be considered the District Court to allow	lered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and		
DATE	ED this 4 th day of August, 20	09.			
		Sun			

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge